

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 FOOTHILLS AT MACDONALD RANCH
7 MASTER ASSOCIATION, et al.,

8 Defendants

Case No.: 2:17-cv-01195-APG-BNW


**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation**

[ECF Nos. 154, 156, 174]

9 On January 3, 2020, Magistrate Judge Weksler recommended that I deny defendant SFR
10 Investments Pool 1, LLC's motions for leave to amend its counterclaim and the case caption.
11 ECF No. 174. SFR did not file an objection. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo
12 review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to
13 "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to
14 which objection is made"); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003)
15 (en banc) ("the district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations
16 de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)).

17 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Weksler's report and recommendation
18 **(ECF No. 174) is accepted**, defendant SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC's motions to amend its
19 counterclaim and the case caption **(ECF Nos. 154, 156) are DENIED**.

20 DATED this 21st day of January, 2020.

21 
22 ANDREW P. GORDON
23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE